International Journal of Scientific Engineering and Technology (ISSN:2277-1581)
Volume No.1 Issue No.6, pp: 328-331 01 Dec. 2012

A Systematic Review on Stabilization of Cohesive Soil using Waste Materials

Mr. K.S. Grover

Associate Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, University College of Engineering, Rajasthan Technical University, Kota, Rajasthan

Abstract – The word soil originated from the solium, which means the upper surface of the earth. The soil comprises gravel, sand, silt, and clay particles. The gravel and sand particles are the coarse-grained particles. The silt and clay particles are the fine-grained particles. The behaviour of soil is based on the soil particle contents. In the behavior study, the soils are majorly classified as cohesive and non-cohesive soil. The soil gets sticky in the presence of water, which is known as cohesive soil. The cohesive soil swells in the presence of water and shrinks when water disperses. This phenomenon affects the life and structural components of superstructures. The waste materials and chemicals are used to treat the cohesive soil. Fash is one of the best waste materials for treating cohesive soil. The review article demonstrates the impact of Fash on cohesive soil properties. The study reveals Fash is a good waste material for stabilizing cohesive soils. The literature study shows that Fash is the most potent waste material for improving the consistency limits, compaction parameters, and strength parameters.

Keywords – *Cohesive soils, Density improvement, Effect on strength, Fly ash (Fash), Stabilization (STN)*

1. Introduction

Cohesive soils, also known as clayey soils, are a type of soil with a high degree of cohesion between particles. Typically less than 0.002 mm in size, the fine-grained particles that make up these soils have the potential to adhere to one another due to electrostatic forces, resulting in the formation of a cohesive mass. Because of their unique characteristics, which include their high flexibility, low permeability, and ability to hold water. In many designing and development applications, for example, unearthing, establishment plan, and slant steadiness, firm soils can be very difficult to work with. To appropriately plan and develop safe structures in these kinds of soils, engineers and geotechnical experts need a full handle of firm soil conduct. It is fundamental to appreciate the physical and mechanical properties of durable soils to foresee how they will respond to various burdens and ecological circumstances.

The distributed exposition STN and treatment of earth soils with lime from 1988 by F. G. Ringer gives a full portrayal of lime's utilization in balancing out and treating dirt soils. The exposition gives instances of the special characteristics of mud soils and the difficulties they present in designing and development applications. From that point forward, the creator talks about the compound and actual systems through which lime can change the properties of earth soil, like diminishing adaptability, expanding strength, and further developing dependability. A great deal of lab tests and field concentrates on back up the viability of lime treatment. There are suggestions for utilizing lime STN in designing activities in the article's decision. By and large, the exploration underlines the benefit of understanding earth soil properties and the advantages of utilizing lime as a functional and enduring answer for soil STN. The review "Utilization of Class C Fashes for the STN of a far reaching soil (EPS)" by Erdal Cokca (2001) looks at the adequacy of Class C Fashes in settling an EPS. Toward the start of the review, the issues EPSs present are momentarily explored. EPSs are helpless to volume varieties brought about by changes in dampness content, which can bring about breaking and primary corruption.

The creator next goes through the qualities of Class C Fash and the physical and synthetic strategies by which it can work on the properties of EPSs. A few research center investigations, including tests for compressive strength, expand potential, and shear strength, are utilized to help the viability of Fash STN. The article's ideas for involving Fash in designing applications stress the availability and maintainability of this methodology. The expected advantages of involving Class C Fash as a dirt stabilizer (STZR) for EPSs are referenced in the text. The paper "Viability of Class C Fash as an EPS STZR" by Zalihe Nalbantog lu (2004) looks at the adequacy of Class C Fash in settling EPSs. The exposition gets going by summing up the difficulties that EPSs defy, for example, their penchant to encounter volume *varieties because of changes in dampness content, which can bring about breaking and primary harm. The creator next goes through the attributes of Class C Fash and the physical and substance strategies by which it can work on the properties of EPSs. The productivity of Fash STN is upheld by various research facility and field examinations as well as tests for compressive strength, enlarge potential, and shear strength. The article's proposals for embracing Fash in designing applications feature this arrangement's moderateness and maintainability. The article's primary concerns place on the potential benefits of involving Class C Fash as a dirt STZR for EPSs and the meaning of grasping EPSs' qualities and conduct in designing applications.*

The researcher of the 2004 paper "Impact of Fash on Designing Properties of EPSs" by B.R. Phani Kumar and Radhey S. Sharma check out at the impacts of Fash on the designing qualities of EPSs. The paper sums up the challenges related with EPSs and examines the qualities of Fash and its conceivable application as a dirt STZR. In research facility studies, the creators examined boundaries like compressive strength, unconfined compressive strength (UCS), and California Bearing Proportion (CBR) on examples of EPS treated with different convergences of Fash. The results showed that adding Fash expanded the dirt's solidness and strength while bringing its ability down to grow. The creators likewise examine the expected ecological advantages of involving Fash as a dirt STZR, for example, its capacity to diminish the amount of trash in landfills. In the wake of reaching the resolution that Fash can be a commonsense and harmless to the ecosystem elective for expanding the specialized characteristics of EPSs, the review encourages further examination into its utilization in designing applications. Generally speaking, the paper features the likely advantages of involving Fash as a dirt STZR for EPSs and shows that understanding soil qualities and conduct in designing applications is so significant. J. Prabakar, Nitin Dendorkar, and R.K. Morchhale analyze what Fash means for the strength conduct of ordinary soils in their paper "Impact of Fash on Strength Conduct of Run of the mill Soils" from 2004. An outline of the Fash highlights and the difficulties that dirt flimsiness offers in designing applications is given at the beginning of the article. From that point forward, the creators examine lab tests for compressive strength, shear strength, and UCS performed on different soil tests presented to changed Fash fixations. The outcomes showed that adding Fash further developed soil soundness and strength, with earth soils showing the greatest enhancements. The creators likewise examine the possible natural advantages of involving Fash as a dirt STZR, for example, its capacity to lessen the amount of trash in landfills. The creator reasons that further examination into the utilization of Fash in designing applications is recommended and that it could be a practical and long haul answer for further developing the strength conduct of normal soils. Generally, the review highlights the advantages of involving Fash as a dirt STZR and stresses that understanding soil properties and conduct in designing applications is so significant. Basha et al. analyzed the adequacy of balancing out lingering soil with concrete and rice husk debris (RHA) in their 2005 review. The paper underscores lingering soil flimsiness' difficulties as well as RHA's properties and opportunities for use as a dirt STZR. Research center testing on examples of remaining soil treated with fluctuating measures of RHA and concrete permitted the boundaries of compressive strength, California Bearing Proportion (CBR), and UCS to be laid out. The outcomes showed that adding RHA and concrete superior the strength and steadiness of the dirt, with the greatest enhancements happening at higher RHA and concrete rates. The creators likewise examine the expected ecological advantages of involving RHA as a dirt STZR, for example, its capacity to lessen the amount of trash in landfills. The review reasons that RHA and concrete can be a down to earth and compelling strategy for balancing out leftover soil and recommends further examination into its utilization in designing applications. The article's primary concerns highlight the possible advantages of involving concrete and RHA as soil STZRs and feature that understanding soil physical science and conduct in designing applications is so significant. Kate (2005) viewed at the viability of involving lime and Fash as a dirt STZR for extending muds. Prior to itemizing the characteristics of lime and Fash and how they might be utilized as soil STZRs, the article initially talks about the difficulties that growing muds offer. In lab studies, the creator evaluated boundaries like UCS, enlarging potential, and shear strength on examples of extensive dirt treated with different amounts of lime and Fash. The outcomes demonstrated that the addition of lime and Fash increased the soil's strength and stability while lowering its capacity to swell. The use of Fash and lime as soil STZR has the potential to have positive environmental effects, including a decrease in the amount of trash dumped in landfills, according to the author. The study encourages more investigation into the use of lime and Fash in engineering applications after concluding that they can be a useful and sustainable solution for enhancing the engineering qualities of expanding clays. Overall, the research emphasises the potential advantages of employing lime and Fash as soil STZR for expansive clays and stresses how crucial it is to comprehend the characteristics and behaviour of soils in engineering applications.

The usefulness of utilising a mixture of recycled ashes and fibres for stabilising EPSs is examined in the publication "Volume Change Behaviours of EPSs Stabilised with Recycled Ashes and Fibres" by Koonnamas Punthutaecha, Anand J. Puppala, Sai K. Vanapalli, and Hilary Inyang from 2006. The difficulties with EPSs are briefly discussed in the opening paragraph, followed by a description of the characteristics of recycled ashes and fibres and their potential for application as a soil STZR. The UCS, swelling potential, and shrinkage limit were determined using laboratory testing on samples of EPS treated with various proportions of recycled ashes and fibres. According to the findings, adding recycled ashes and fibres increased the soil's strength and stability as well as decreased its potential for swelling and shrinkage. The possible environmental advantages of employing recycled materials as a soil STZR, including its capacity to lower the amount of garbage in landfills, are also covered by the authors. The article's conclusions suggest additional investigation into the use of recycled ashes and fibres in engineering applications as a viable and efficient way to enhance the volume changing behaviours of EPSs. Overall, the article emphasises the potential advantages of using recycled ashes and fibres as a soil STZR for EPSs and stresses how crucial it is to comprehend soil characteristics and behaviour in engineering applications.

The usefulness of employing Fash as a soil STZR for clays is examined in the article "Volume Change Behaviour of Fash-Stabilized Clays" by B. R. Phanikumar and Radhey S. Sharma published in 2007. The exposition starts by addressing the difficulties EPSs bring prior to proceeding to depict the qualities of Fash and its possible use as a dirt STZR. Utilizing dirt examples that had different measures of Fash applied to them, research facility testing was utilized to survey the UCS, expanding potential, and shrinkage limit. The outcomes showed that adding Fash worked on the dirt's soundness and strength while bringing its powerlessness down to enlarging and contracting. The creators likewise examine the expected ecological advantages of involving Fash as a dirt STZR, for example, its capacity to lessen the amount of trash in landfills. The review reasons that Fash might be a suitable and feasible answer for expanding the volume change conduct of dirts and energizes more examination into its utilization in designing applications. The significant contentions of the paper community on the possible advantages of involving Fash as a dirt STZR for muds and the need of figuring out soil properties and conduct in designing applications. The 2008 article "Behaviour of EPSs Stabilised with Fash" by Fusheng Zha, Songyu Liu, Yanjun Du, and Kerui Cui examines the behaviour of EPSs stabilised with Fash. The paper gets going by illustrating the troubles introduced by EPSs prior to portraying the qualities of Fash and its conceivable application as a dirt STZR. The UCS, expanding potential, and shrinkage limit were assessed utilizing lab tests on examples of EPS treated with different groupings of Fash by the creators. The discoveries showed that adding Fash expanded the dirt's solidarity and soundness and diminished its true capacity for enlarging and shrinkage. The creators likewise covered the impacts of Fash treatment on the dirt's microstructure and mineralogy as well as the expected natural benefits of utilizing Fash as a dirt STZR. The article suggests additional investigation into the use of Fash in engineering applications after concluding that it can be a viable and efficient method for stabilising EPSs. Overall, the article emphasises the potential advantages of adopting Fash as a soil STZR for EPSs and stresses how crucial it is to comprehend soil features and behaviour in engineering applications.

2. Methodology

Most researchers blended the waste material using a partial replacement strategy, according to the literature review. The researchers blended Fash with various amounts of cohesive soil. In the reported work, Zha et al. (2008) mixed Fash from 3% to 15% at 3% variations. Also, the authors added lime in the range of 1% to 3% at 1% variations. Phanikumar et al. (2007) added Fash in the range of 5% to 20% at 5% variations in cohesive soil. Punthutaecha et al. (2006) mixed F-class Fash from 5% to 20% at 5% variations. Also, Kate (2005) added 5%, 10%, 15%, and 20% Fash in cohesive soil. Basha et al. (2005) added 10-15% rice husk ash and 6-8% cement content to stabilze the cohesive soil. Prabakar et al. (2004) mixed Fash in the range of 9 to 46% in soil. Phani Kumar and Sharma (2004) added Fash from 5 to 20% at 5% variations in soil. Nalbantoğlu (2004) mixed class C Fash to stabilize the EPS. Cokca (2001) mixed 0-8% lime with 0-25% Fash to treat the EPSs.

3. Discussion on Results

The effect of Fash has been determined in the published study by several researchers. Zha et al. (2008) reported that (i) the free swell index of soil decreases from 57 to 35 and (ii) swelling potential decreases from approximately 11.4% to 7.6%, (iii) swelling pressure decreases from 240kPa to 155kPa, and (iv) axial shrinkage decreases from 5.25% to 3.125%. Phanikuamr et al. (2007) recorded that (i) a maximum decrease in FSI is obtained by adding 20% Fash, (ii) maximum dry density is increased by 7% by adding 20% Fash, (iii) optimum moisture content is decreased by 15% by adding 20% Fash, and (iv) compression index decreased by 50%. Punthutaecha (2006) et al. concluded that (i) liquid limit decreases to 47.7% and (ii) volumetric swell strain decreases. Kate (2005) summarized that (i) the combination of *lime and Fash controls the FSI, (ii) Basha et al. (2005) reported that (i) cement and rice husk ash reduce the plasticity, (ii) cement and rice husk ash decrease the MDD and increase the OMC, (iii) UCS of soil increases due to combine effect of cement and rice husk ash, and (iv) CBR increases due to cement and rice husk ash. Prabakar et al. (2004) summarized that (i) dry density decreases and moisture content increases with Fash content, (ii) cohesion increases and friction angle decreases with Fash content, (iii) CBR increases with Fash content, and (iv) swelling index decreases with Fash content. Phani Kumar and Sharma (2004) concluded that the penetration resistance and shear strength decrease with Fash content. Nalbantoğlu (2004) stated that (i) liquid limit varies because of nature of soil and (ii) swelling potential decreases with Fash. Cokca (2001) concluded that (i) plasticity index decreases due to combination of lime and Fash and (ii) the swelling potential drops approximate equal by adding each 20% fly and and 8% lime.*

4. Conclusions and Future Scopes

The present study demonstrates that the class F and C Fash are useful waste material in treating the EPSs. It has been observed that the Fash enhances the soil properties with cement, rice husk ash and lime. Hence, it can be stated that (i) the Fash is good admixture for treating EPSs, (ii) the Fash is cheap, (iii) Fash enhance the strength properties of cohesive soils. The present study suggests that (i) combine effect of Fash and marble dust may be studied, (ii) the effect of brick dust with Fash may be studied for cohesive soils.

REFERENCES

i. Bell, F.G., 1988. Stabilisation and treatment of clay soils with lime: Part 2-some applications. In International Journal of Rock Mechanics and Mining Sciences and Geomechanics Abstracts (Vol. 25, No. 6, pp. 295-295). Elsevier Science.

ii. Cokca, E., 2001. Use of class c Fashes for the STNof an EPS. Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering, 127(7), pp.568-573.

iii. Nalbantoğlu, Z., 2004. Effectiveness of class C Fash as an EPS STZR. Construction and Building Materials, 18(6), pp.377-381.

iv. Phani Kumar, B.R. and Sharma, R.S., 2004. Effect of Fash on engineering properties of EPSs. Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering, 130(7), pp.764-767.

v. Prabakar, J., Dendorkar, N. and Morchhale, R.K., 2004. Influence of Fash on strength behavior of typical soils. Construction and Building Materials, 18(4), pp.263-267.

vi. Basha, E.A., Hashim, R., Mahmud, H.B. and Muntohar, A.S., 2005. STN of residual soil with rice husk ash and cement. Construction and building materials, 19(6), pp.448-453.

vii. Kate, J.M., 2009. Behavior of expansive clays treated with lime Fash admixtures. IGC, at IIT Delhi, New Delhi has published a paper in IGC.

viii. Punthutaecha, K., Puppala, A.J., Vanapalli, S.K. and Inyang, H., 2006. Volume change behaviors of EPSs stabilized with recycled ashes and fibers. Journal of materials in Civil Engineering, 18(2), pp.295-306.

ix. Phanikumar, B.R. and Sharma, R.S., 2007. Volume change behavior of Fash-stabilized clays. Journal of materials in Civil Engineering, 19(1), pp.67-74.

x. Zha, F., Liu, S., Du, Y. and Cui, K., 2008. Behavior of EPSs stabilized with Fash. Natural hazards, 47, pp.509-523.